

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/536,351	03/27/2000	Sreenivasa Rao Damarla	3900-003	3606
75	90 04/14/2004		EXAMINER	
Luke A Kilyk Esq			LEVY, NEIL S	
Kilyk & Bowers 3603 E Chain B			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fairfax, VA 2			1616	
			DATE MAILED: 04/14/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICA	ANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
39/536357	7	DAMARLA	edw		
/				EXAMINER	
			NE	Loug	
			ART UNIT	PAPER MUMBER	
			1616		
			DATE MAILED:		

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

## COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION					
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:					
a) (才 is extended to run or continues to run 3 from the date of the final rejection					
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.					
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.					
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).					
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:					
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:					
<ul> <li>a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.</li> </ul>					
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).					
c. 🔂 They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).					
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.					
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: Concolled claims to any other existing Suppersures NOT  Presented - Copies are Vernested, other wire it is not  Clear whether or not of the mend next rise suppersure.  No comment was nade as to where supperst in the three of  2. Newly proposed or amended claims or family be allowed it submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims</li></ol>					
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment is will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:					
Claims allowed:					
Claims objected to:  Claims rejected: 6 F Vecord					
However;					
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because IIIN)  Leve where there was reflect for The now commend surgues as hother, the whentom is restricted as A 29 drach to based,					
5. The attridavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.  The attridavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.  The attridavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.  The attridavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.  The attridavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.  The attridavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.  The attridavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.					
The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the pexaminer.  Other mally it is still not clear what the Noew extract the second that the second the seco					
9/62/03ane Mainteureer Render PRIMARY EXAMINER					
PTO(-303 (REV-5-89)					